

# Q&A on the sustainable finance industry initiative – 2024

## 1. Reasons for the industry initiative

### **Why did Swiss wealth management banks and private banks launch a sustainable finance initiative?**

Many wealth management banks and private banks have been active in sustainable finance activities for years and some of them have already massively reduced their operating emissions or have also started, in some cases, to reduce the emissions associated with their own investments. At the end of 2020, the Association of Swiss Asset and Wealth Management Banks (VAV) decided to consolidate all efforts being made in this regard and launch an industry-wide initiative. The idea is to show what type of leverage the wealth management industry realistically has here – in other words to present the specific measures the industry has been taking over the past few years and show the progress that has been made with the various measures. The measures were presented in 2021, most members of the Association of Swiss Private Banks (ASPB) as well as LGT and Bank J. Safra Sarasin have got involved since then, and a progress report was published annually. The third progress report follows this year.

### **What specifically is the industry initiative meant to achieve?**

Switzerland's wealth management banks and private banks want to do their part to help achieve the objectives of the Paris Agreement as active members of society and as part of their duty of care towards their clients because climate risks also represent investment risks that we all need to be aware of. This is consistent with Switzerland's climate strategy, as adopted by the Federal Council, which also reflects the objectives of the Paris Agreement. Sustainability and sustainable finance have been right at the top of the agenda here for some time now. This progress report illustrates how and why the VAV and the ASPB and their members are following through on their commitment. The industry initiative has established specific priorities in the four areas of greenhouse gas reductions, advisory and offering, training, and disclosure, and the wealth management banks and private banks are now focusing on these priorities where possible. The industry initiative's ambitions and climate-related obligations are aligned with the objectives of the Paris Agreement. Sustainable finance offers an opportunity for the wealth management industry and will benefit coming generations as well.

### **What are the 21 banks participating in the initiative?**

The VAV and ASPB members already involved were joined in recent years by LGT and Bank J. Safra Sarasin. The following institutions are participating in the initiative this year: Aquila AG, Banca del Ceresio SA, Bank Julius Bär & Co. AG, Bank J. Safra Sarasin, Bank Vontobel AG, Banque Bonhôte & Cie SA, Banque Lombard Odier & Cie SA, Banque Pictet & Cie SA, Bordier & Cie SCmA, Cornèr Banca SA, Edmond de Rothschild (Suisse) SA, EFG International, LGT Bank, Maerki Baumann & Co. AG, Mirabaud & Cie SA, Rahn+Bodmer Co., Scobag Privatbank AG, Società Bancaria Ticinese SA, Union Bancaire Privée UBP SA and VZ Depotbank AG. One bank has chosen not to disclose its participation in the initiative.

### **Who is allowed to participate in the initiative?**

The initiative is intentionally designed to be an open platform that welcomes all financial institutions that engage in wealth management activities. The members of the initiative would also welcome the support of additional associations and the addition of further private, foreign, cantonal and big banks as members.

### **Do all of Switzerland's wealth management banks and private banks have to participate in the industry initiative?**

The initiative was launched in 2021 with 12 banks; today, 21 banks are participating. The initiative is based on voluntary participation and no association members have been or will be forced to participate. However, those that do agree to join must commit to being evaluated on the basis of the 13 priorities so as to enable the disclosure of consolidated results for the industry as a whole. Each bank can still decide for itself whether it wishes to disclose its own individual results.

### **Have all initiative participating banks committed themselves to the priorities equally?**

The sustainable finance initiative lays down the strategy – in other words it sets the priorities. However, no rigid guidelines have been defined for each individual institute. The participating banks are involved in the same core business, but they are all very different in terms of their size and structure. For example, the 21 participants include very small SMEs with fewer than 50 employees and with only one location but there are also banks that operate globally and have several thousand employees and locations all over the world. So all 21 participants cannot simply be lumped together. At the same time, all those that do agree to join must commit to being evaluated on the basis of the 13 priorities so that the consolidated results can be disclosed for the industry as a whole. It has also been clear from the start that small institutions, given their more limited resources, are unable to implement certain priorities as quickly or sometimes at all. The aim of the joint efforts is to take each bank as far as possible, by sharing knowledge and experience.

## 2. International developments

### **How has Switzerland positioned itself globally with regard to sustainable finance?**

The Swiss financial industry is seeking to further strengthen its position as a leader in sustainable financial services. Efforts are being made to design the framework conditions in such a way that the competitiveness of the Swiss financial industry is continuously improved and the financial sector can make an effective contribution to sustainability. The ever-increasing regulatory activity in the field of sustainable finance is not only being felt on a national level, as it has long been tangible on the international stage as well. Because climate change represents a global challenge, the issue is being taken up by various international organisations. The ambition is to agree, as far as possible, on globally applicable standards. These are being developed within international financial bodies, in some cases with the involvement of Swiss authorities.

### **What are the key regulatory challenges here?**

There is a real risk of fragmentation of international regulations due to the formation of blocks of competing economic areas and the diverging focus of various countries' respective national energy policies. The desired consistent national implementation of aligned international sustainable finance principles is therefore unfortunately far from being achieved at the moment.

### **Can Switzerland afford to go it alone here?**

With its heavy export focus, the Swiss financial industry has to be aware of the existing international differences, as a large proportion of its clients are domiciled abroad and its investment products are sold internationally. A fragmentation of international regulations is leading to uncertainty and confusion among both financial institutions and clients. Because there are still many uncertainties with regard to regulation within the EU and how it aligns with other international initiatives in the area of sustainable finance, a staggered approach is sensible for Switzerland. It is important here to be able to safeguard the exportability of

Swiss products and services. So the question inevitably arises as to how Swiss regulations equate to other international regulations, particularly within the EU.

### **What important sustainable finance developments have occurred on the international level?**

- **G20 and the Financial Stability Board (FSB):** In a global context, the framework developed by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) has gained particular momentum due to its recognition as a global standard by relevant stakeholders. The standards published in June 2023 by the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB), which is supported by the IFRS Foundation, build on the TCFD standards and are now the official international standards. The framework introduces consistent and transparent rules regarding the disclosure of the financial climate risks associated with economic players. This development should be welcomed, as consistent rules are important for banks that operate internationally, and some of these rules have been incorporated into national regulations (for example the FINMA circular on disclosure, or the climate reporting obligation).
- **UN:** The UN Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UN Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) form the foundations for achieving the climate objectives. Based on these, the UN Principles for Responsible Investing (PRI) and the UN Principles for Responsible Banking (PRB) have been established for the financial sector. Many financial institutions in Switzerland and around the world have already signed up and the sustainable finance industry initiative is also committed to these principles.
- **Net-Zero Banking Alliance:** In April 2021, during the run-up to the UN Climate Change Conference (COP26) in November 2021, the UN Net-Zero Banking Alliance was launched. The alliance is made up of 145 of the world's largest banks (as of July 2024). Through collaboration and transparency, the alliance aims to align the ambitions of the banking sector and its climate-related obligations with the objectives of the Paris Agreement. The alliance coordinates the bank section of the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero (GFANZ), which is the overarching alliance that brings together the various net-zero alliances in the financial sector such as the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative (NZAM), which has been signed by Edmond de Rothschild, Union Bancaire Privée, Pictet Group, Lombard Odier Investment Managers, Mirabaud Investment Managers, LGT Capital Partners, and J. Safra Sarasin, or the Net-Zero Insurance Alliance (NZIA).
- **Central banks and regulators:** Parallel to this, central banks and regulators in the EU, the UK, Switzerland, Singapore and Hong Kong are becoming more concerned about climate risks and are increasingly taking them into account in their existing stress tests.
- **EU:** The EU has taken on a pioneering role in the area of sustainable finance by implementing the EU Action Plan and the Green Deal for a sustainable European economy. The latter aims to establish the conditions necessary for the EU to achieve net zero emissions by 2050. It describes the measures and investments that are crucial for this and explains how these measures can be funded. Since then, there have been dynamic developments and impetus in terms of regulations within the EU, such as the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), which expands the existing rules on non-financial reporting, and a directive on due diligence in relation to sustainability at corporate level known as the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD). This was published on 5 July 2024 in the Official Journal of the EU. The introduction of a Green Bond Standard was accompanied in June 2023, as part of the Sustainable Finance Package, by the implementation of further regulatory changes and explanatory information for banks in the field of sustainable finance. It is particularly worth noting as well that many of the EU measures contain an extraterritorial component (i.e. they also apply to non-EU companies doing business in the EU).
- **International Platform on Sustainable Finance (IPSF):** The IPSF, which was established by the EU in 2019, has 20 members, including Switzerland. Together, these countries represent 58% of the world's greenhouse gas emissions, 51% of the global population and

54% of global GDP (as at July 2024). The aim of the IPSF is to increasingly mobilise private capital for environmentally responsible investments by aligning national standards as much as possible. The IPSF therefore offers a multilateral forum to facilitate dialogue between the political decision-makers responsible for the development of regulatory measures for sustainable finance in order to help investors identify and take advantage of sustainable investment opportunities that make a real contribution to achieving climate and environmental targets.

### **Is reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions still a priority for wealth management – following Russia's war against Ukraine and the associated international energy crisis?**

Beyond climate change, both the energy crisis and the war involving Russia in Ukraine are making withdrawal from fossil fuels and the use of renewable energy more essential than ever to reduce international dependencies. But faced with the acute energy supply situation in the winter of 2022/2023, some economic actors and countries had to respond accordingly – and not always to optimal effect in terms of climate policy. In the longer term, it is essential to be clear about sticking to the climate agenda. And the past energy crisis has not actually changed anything as regards the sustainable finance industry initiative.

## 3. Activities in Switzerland and the financial sector

### **How is Switzerland reacting to international developments?**

The Federal Council approved a report and set of guidelines on sustainability in the financial sector as long ago as June 2020. In its 'Sustainable finance in Switzerland' report from 16 December 2022, the Federal Council defined the areas of activity up to 2025 and set out 15 measures, thereby underscoring its objective of turning the Swiss financial industry into a leading hub for sustainable financial services. To achieve this aim, the framework conditions need to be designed in such a way that the competitiveness of the Swiss financial industry is continuously improved and the financial sector can make an effective contribution to sustainability. The VAV-ASPB priorities cover all the measures in this report which are of relevance to wealth management.

### **What role does the Federal Council play here?**

The Federal Council's primary objective is to develop industry standards rather than regulations. The Federal Council encourages companies in the financial industry to use comparable and reliable climate compatibility indicators to create transparency with regard to all of their financial products and client portfolios. Within the context of the Paris Agreement, Switzerland has committed itself to implementing climate-related regulations for the financial industry, which is why it makes sense to initially focus on climate impacts. Such a focus is also in line with international developments such as those occurring in the EU and among the G20 nations. The Federal Council has also recommended that companies in the financial industry join international 'net zero alliances'. It also welcomes the binding minimum provisions for integrating sustainability criteria into investment advice and wealth management which were published in June 2022 by the SBA with a self-regulation as well as the self-regulation on transparency and disclosure for sustainability-related collective assets promoted by AMAS, which took effect on 30 September 2023. In 2023, the Federal Council finally announced it would also be trying out further measures to prevent greenwashing. Once the SBA and AMAS had refined their self-regulations in this area – with the aim of implementing these in full by the start of 2027 – the Federal Council decided not to introduce a state greenwashing regulation within the financial sector. The need for additional measures is to be reassessed after any change to the SFDR by the EU and by the end of 2027 at the latest.

### **The Federal Council launched the Swiss Climate Scores in 2022. Why?**

The Swiss Climate Scores (SCS) system utilises a catalogue of criteria that are based on more recent international findings. These criteria should make it possible for private and institutional investors to judge how climate-friendly a specific investment product actually is. The implementation of the Swiss Climate Scores system was therefore taken up as a new priority the previous year already, even though the methodology behind the instrument is not yet fully mature and the Federal Council decided to refine the SCS in December 2023. There are indeed still some unresolved questions about the availability and quality of the data required from the real economy, and these will need to be dealt with. The SIF will publish a report by the end of 2024 on the progress made in terms of implementing the indicators.

### **Why is climate transparency at the product level important?**

It is important because it allows investors to get a clear picture of the footprint of their investments and make investment decisions that help achieve the climate targets. What we're talking about here is reliable and comparable information on how compatible various investment products are with international climate targets. You need to have a set of several indicators in order to ensure that such information is reliable.

### **What are the associations doing?**

Several associations have become active in the area of sustainable finance and have developed recommendations for the effective implementation of a sustainable investment process (Swiss Sustainable Finance, SSF) and a set of guidelines for integrating ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) criteria into the advisory process for private clients (Swiss Bankers Association, SBA).

- **The Swiss Bankers Association (SBA)** introduced a system of self-regulation for sustainable finance in 2022. The new guidelines defined binding sustainability-related provisions for the first time with regard to investment advice, mortgage advice and wealth management. By making sustainability an integral component of advisory discussions with private clients, the industry is making a real contribution to the achievement of the Paris Agreement's climate objectives and targets and is also helping to further strengthen Switzerland as the leading hub for sustainable finance. The aforementioned guidelines entered into force on 1 January 2023, whereby certain grace periods will be defined for the various institutions in order to allow internal bank processes to be adjusted as needed. The SBA's self-regulation was refined this year to meet the Federal Council's expectations on the prevention of greenwashing in the financial sector. The self-regulation on greenwashing, having been refined, clearly sets out the conditions for marketing investment solutions as sustainable. These guidelines will be reviewed on a regular basis and refined whenever necessary.
- **The Asset Management Association Switzerland (AMAS)** has developed a principle-based self-regulation for sustainable asset management that is binding for all its members. This was also refined this year – just like the SBA's self-regulation – to meet the Federal Council's expectations on the prevention of greenwashing and was regarded as sufficient by the Federal Council. In doing so, AMAS is further enhancing the role played by the Swiss asset management industry in the area of sustainable finance and seizing the initiative in terms of continuously improving the framework conditions for the creation and management of sustainable collective assets.
- In the summer of 2022, **Swiss Sustainable Finance (SSF)** published a so-called 'Practitioner's Guide', which was intended to help client advisors integrate client sustainability preferences into advisory processes ('Practitioners' Guide on the Integration of Sustainability Preferences into the Advisory Process for Private Clients'). In 2023, the association published two further sets of guidelines. One is concerned with the reporting obligations regarding climate risks in relation to the European TCFD regulation, which

became mandatory for many export-oriented financial institutions in 2024. The second form of help – in collaboration with AMAS – is known as the ‘Swiss Stewardship Code’ and provides guidelines on how to encourage investors in Switzerland to actively exercise their rights as shareholders.

### **What are the challenges here?**

Basically, it is important to use identifiably different measures for both the investment side and the financing side of the banking business. Furthermore, any Swiss regulation should focus on the areas for which compatibility with EU laws is required for export reasons. From a Swiss point of view, a staggered approach remains a sensible idea as there are still many uncertainties with regard to regulation within the EU and how it aligns with other international initiatives in the field.

### **What is special about the ‘climate contribution’ made by banks? Why do we need to distinguish between the investment side and the financing side of banking?**

Like companies in other industries, banks can have direct and indirect impacts (Scopes 1, 2 and 3) along their entire value chains. However, given its specific economic function, the financial industry can also make a further significant impact by assisting other sectors. This specific additional contribution the financial sector can make for other industries necessitates a differentiated view on the extent to which banks can offer support in different business fields. The sustainable finance concept assigns three core functions to the banking business: investment, credit and financing, and the capital market and the issuing of securities. Due to the different roles a bank plays in each of these functions, specific instruments must be used to ensure sustainability. That is because it makes a difference if a bank is operating within the commercial sector with companies or if it targets its services at private clients. We also need to take into account whether a bank is only considered an intermediary and therefore ‘only’ plays an advisory role towards third parties, or whether it manages third parties’ funds as a fiduciary or invests its own money (treasury, granting credit) and is therefore subject to increased liability or risk. Finally, we can also distinguish between the primary market and the secondary market, as banks in the primary market give new companies or expansion projects access to the financial markets or provide the necessary financing, and therefore have a more direct influence on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while in the secondary market they only assist with changes in ownership of securities and therefore have no comparable direct CO<sub>2</sub>-relevant impact. Within the wealth management sector, the climate contribution is primarily associated with investing.

### **How does the initiative define sustainable investment?**

Over time, sustainable investment has become an important topic for almost all investors – both institutional and private. The wealth management banks and private banks are very aware of their responsibility towards the environment, society and clients within this transformation process. They actively communicate with their clients about the challenges, opportunities and risks for investors that are associated with climate change and a move towards a more sustainable economy.

### **How can wealth management banks support their clients with regard to sustainability?**

The wealth management banks want to offer their clients investment solutions that make a measurable contribution towards achieving the objectives of the Paris Agreement and offer them opportunities to participate in the transformation process as investors. It is therefore important to integrate ESG perspectives into research, advisory, and investment processes and be transparent about showing clients what proportion of their portfolio involves sustainable investments. This enables clients themselves to make well-informed decisions. In addition, training for employees, particularly those in client-facing roles, helps ensure clients receive expert support. Banks can also help clients on their journey towards net zero

through stewardship, i.e. by making them more aware and helping them to exercise their rights as shareholders, as well as through engagement and dialogue with companies in which they and their clients invest.

### **How can greenwashing be prevented?**

To prevent greenwashing, companies operating in the real economy need to provide transparent information and comparable and high-quality raw data. It is critical, however, that uniform definitions of core concepts (e.g. sustainable investment) are made available by regulators at a national and international level and to stop constantly reinterpreting these. Unless this happens, there will continue to be confusion with regard to the sustainability of products and services – and the overall activities of companies in general. In Switzerland, the Federal Council defines greenwashing as a situation in which the clients of financial institutions are knowingly deceived or misled about the sustainable attributes of financial products and consultation processes. The Federal Council had therefore asked the State Secretariat for International Finance (SIF) to assess concrete proposals for the prevention of greenwashing. The banking industry (in particular the SBA and AMAS) has responded to this, refined its existing self-regulations in line with the Federal Council's expectations, and also come up with a clearer definition of sustainable investment solutions for the whole of Switzerland. This now guarantees the kind of transparency required. In June 2024, the Federal Council announced accordingly that it had decided, for the time being, not to introduce a state greenwashing regulation within the financial sector.

### **How much CO<sub>2</sub> does the financial industry produce?**

According to the environmental accounts produced by the Federal Statistical Office for 2023, the financial and insurance industries produced only 0.3 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents in 2021. This amount corresponds to 0.8% of the emissions produced by the Swiss economy as a whole as per the General Classification of Economic Activities and only 0.5% of all Swiss emissions (i.e. including private households). In comparison to other sectors, the financial sector's own business activities (Scope 1 of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol), like those of all services sector industries, are extremely low in emissions. As is the case with other sectors, however, the financial industry can make a further contribution to the quality of its energy purchasing (Scope 2 of the GHG Protocol) and its up- and downstream corporate activities (Scope 3 of the GHG Protocol: products, 'financed emissions', supply chain, business trips / commuting, waste disposal, own assets under management, etc.), and in addition – due its specific economic function – make a further significant impact by assisting other sectors.

### **How can banks reduce their carbon footprint?**

The reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from own business activities (Scope 1) and from electricity procurement (Scope 2) can be pursued through measures such as using renewable energy sources or ensuring efficient building management. Emissions in this area have been massively reduced in recent years in some cases. By adopting further measures relating to upstream and downstream emissions along the value chain for the financial industry (Scope 3), banks can make a further contribution by helping their clients to divert money into sustainable investments or assisting them with stewardship activities in relation to their financial commitments. With these kinds of sustainable investments, the final responsibility for decisions lies with clients as their own assets are involved. These efforts are also being promoted by creating transparency and arranging employee training. With this in mind, the sustainable finance industry initiative wants the Swiss financial industry to play a globally leading role in the field of sustainability. (See also the issue of banks' 'climate contribution' as described above.)

## 4. The industry initiative's 13 priorities

### What are the industry initiative's 13 priorities?

The 13 priorities for 2024 have been divided into four categories that reflect the most important aspects for wealth management banks:

- **Reducing greenhouse gas emissions:** The aim is to achieve the net-zero emissions target, taking account of all emissions (based on Scope 1-3) that are generated through own operational processes, treasury book, and discretionary managed assets, by 2050 or earlier. Members agree to initiate actions that contribute to lowering GHG emissions – in line with the Paris Agreement.
- **Offering and advisory:** The aim is to broaden the sustainable investment solutions offering and proactively approach clients to discuss risks and opportunities in sustainable investing – in compliance with the self-regulation practiced by the SBA and AMAS.
- **Training:** The aim is to integrate sustainability across the business and give training to all employees, particularly in client-facing roles, regarding opportunities and risks associated with sustainable investments as well as developments across the industry and in the regulatory sphere.
- **Disclosure:** The aim is to create transparency regarding sustainability-related activities – in compliance with statutory requirements, international standards, key industry initiatives, and guiding principles.

### Why is this year's priority list different from last year's list?

Compared with last year, one additional priority was added to the category of disclosure: Measure 13 is intended to create transparency in relation to the use of key terms within the sustainability universe and highlight the recognised regulatory or international standards/definitions to which they relate. In addition, priorities 1 and 2 were combined into a single priority given their interconnectedness. One measure (signing the UN Principles for Responsible Investment PRI) was also closed on account of its successful implementation. All these additions will ultimately ensure that the priorities remain consistent with the self-regulation system of the SBA and AMAS and also with the Federal Council's strategy in this area. It is also worth remembering, apart from this, that the priorities were otherwise not amended in any material way, which also ensures that comparison with 2023 is possible.

### Are all measures actually being implemented?

The progress report shows the actual current status of implementation of the 14 measures weighted on the basis of the assets under management. All of this involves a dynamic benchmarking and learning process. The participants are queried on their progress on an annual basis. Additional measures are also being defined and existing measures are being continuously adjusted. The progress report presents the consolidated results, and every participant can decide for itself whether it wishes to disclose its own results.

### Does the industry initiative plan to set binding minimum standards or issue a label?

An own label will not be issued. However, some of the 13 priorities are already based on international standards such as the UN PRI, the UN PRB, the SBTi, the ISSB, and the TCFD as well as national measures from the industry or the Federal Council.



## 5. Switzerland as a sustainable finance hub

### **Why is Switzerland destined to be a sustainable finance hub?**

The framework conditions for the transformation of Switzerland as a location for wealth management into a sustainable finance hub are already favourable today. Switzerland manages 25% of all worldwide cross-border assets under management and is the global leader in the wealth management sector, in which creation of services is a particularly low-emission activity. Switzerland is also a location that boasts solid interaction and rapid communication between the government, financial industry companies, the scientific community and major players in various sectors of the real economy that are committed to sustainability. This is an excellent foundation for the successful development of Switzerland as a sustainable finance hub – one that can attract talented individuals as well.

### **How should Switzerland distinguish itself as a sustainable finance hub from other hubs that have also made sustainability a priority?**

Switzerland has been a global leader in the wealth management sector for decades now. Clients from all over the world trust the expertise that Swiss financial institutions can offer them and appreciate the centuries of stability and legal certainty that Switzerland has provided. The concentration of experience and expertise in wealth management is unique in Switzerland, as are the network and ecosystem, including the academy. What's more, the numerous Swiss startups in the areas of fintech and distributed ledger technology (DLT, blockchains) show just how innovative the Swiss financial industry can be.

### **Hasn't the financial industry been positioning itself with ESG issues for a long time now?**

Sustainable financial products continue to only account for a relatively small share of client investment portfolios. According to SSF, a total of CHF 1,660 billion in sustainable investments was under management in Switzerland in 2023. This figure is ascertained on the basis of a definition of such investments which could be tightened in future in line with changes that might be made to the criteria for evaluating and classifying investments. Following the decline in sustainability-related investment volumes in the previous year, these are again showing growth of 3% for 2023, although this is below the general market trend. This would suggest that the full potential has not been exploited yet, and there is scope to achieve even more.

## Glossary – the most important terms

### **Decarbonisation**

Decarbonisation refers to a process that reduces the use of energy sources that contain carbon. The long-term goal regarding decarbonisation is to completely eliminate carbon-based sources of fuel and energy in the mobility and power generation sectors and other economic sectors.

### **Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol**

The GHG Protocol establishes a globally standardised framework for measuring and managing greenhouse gas emissions from the private and public sector and along value chains. The GHG Protocol is the result of a 20-year partnership between the World Resources Institute and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development. The protocol organisation works with governments, industrial associations, NGOs, companies and other organisations.

### **Global warming**

Global warming refers to the increase in the average global temperature since the beginning of the industrial era. Average global temperature increased by 1 °C between 1880 and 2017. It is extremely likely that greenhouse gas emissions are the cause of this increase. Greenhouse gas emissions are mainly a result of the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas, but they are also caused by large-scale changes in land use – for example deforestation in tropical rain forests.

### **Sustainable financial system (sustainable finance)**

A financial system is considered sustainable if the financing and investment decisions made within it promote economic activity that takes the scarcity of limited natural resources and the regenerative capacity of renewable resources into account. In order to increase the level of sustainability in such a system, companies and individuals will systematically incorporate sustainability factors into their financing and investment decisions.

### **UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDG)**

The UN's 2030 Agenda, which has 17 sustainable development goals, is a global plan for promoting sustainable peace and prosperity in order to protect our planet. Since 2016, all UN member states have been working on incorporating this shared vision for fighting poverty and reducing inequality into their national development plans. The 17 sustainable development goals can be found here: [THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development \(un.org\)](#)

### **Net-zero emissions**

If global warming is to be slowed, greenhouse gas emissions will need to be completely eliminated by the middle of the century. Some emissions that are difficult to reduce will continue to be produced, however. CO<sub>2</sub> removal could be used to offset these, and this would result in net-zero emissions. Example for Switzerland: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Switzerland caused by transport, buildings and industry can be reduced by as much as 95 per cent by 2050 by using existing technologies and renewable energy sources. The remaining emissions will be offset in future by natural CO<sub>2</sub> storage media (e.g. forests and soil), as well as through the use of technologies that permanently remove greenhouse gases from the atmosphere and then store them.

### **Corporate social responsibility (CSR)**

Corporate social responsibility involves addressing the impact that business activities have on society and the environment. It relates to a broad range of issues that companies need to pay attention to. Such issues include working conditions (health, occupational safety, etc.), human rights, environmental protection, taxes, transparency, the prevention of corruption,

ensuring fair competition and protecting consumer interests. Effective CSR requires companies to consider the interests of stakeholder groups (e.g. shareholders, employees, consumers, local communities and non-governmental organisations).

### **The Paris Agreement**

During the UN Climate Change Conference in Paris at the end of 2015, an agreement was reached for the period after 2020 that for the first time requires all participating countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The Paris Agreement is a legally binding instrument that is part of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. The agreement contains elements for enabling the gradual reduction of global greenhouse gas emissions and it also defines common principles for all nations for the first time. For example, the agreement calls for keeping the rise in average global temperature to well below 2 °C when compared to the pre-industrial era, and for the parties to the agreement to make efforts to limit the global temperature increase to 1.5 °C. In order to accomplish all of this, global greenhouse gas emissions will have to be reduced to net-zero by the beginning of the second half of this century. In other words, fossil emissions may no longer be released into the atmosphere after that time. Other key objectives of the agreement include redirecting public and private finance flows towards low-carbon development (and development that releases low levels of other greenhouse gases as well) and improving humankind's ability to adapt to climate change. By ratifying the Paris Agreement, Switzerland has committed itself to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 50% by 2030 compared to 1990 levels.

### **Scopes 1, 2 and 3**

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol Corporate Standard divides the greenhouse gas emissions of companies into three categories (Scopes). Scope 1 emissions are direct emissions from owned or controlled sources (e.g. from company facilities and vehicle fleets). Scope 2 emissions are indirect emissions from the generation of energy (electricity, steam, heating and cooling) purchased for a company's own use. Scope 3 emissions are all other indirect emissions that occur in a company's value chain, including emissions from up- and downstream activities. Upstream activities relate to procured goods and services, capital goods, the use of fuels and other energy sources, employee commuting, business trips, leasing activities, the transport and distribution of manufactured goods and the production of waste. Downstream activities include, for example, the transport and distribution of sold goods, the processing and utilisation of sold goods and the recycling of sold goods, as well as investments and the provision of leased goods.

### **Swiss Climate Scores**

Swiss Climate Scores were launched by the Federal Council in June 2022 and are intended to promote best practice from a transparency perspective – as regards the drive to make financial investments more climate-compatible – and thereby encourage investment decisions that will help achieve global climate objectives. So the 'Swiss Climate Scores' are designed to provide institutional and private investors in Switzerland with comparable and meaningful information about how compatible their financial investments are with international climate objectives. Application is on a voluntary basis.

### **Stewardship**

By actively exercising their voting rights as shareholders and engaging in dialogue with companies in their investment portfolio, institutional investors such as insurance companies and pension schemes, as well as wealth managers, can make an important contribution towards sustainability objectives: for example, they can encourage companies to adopt science-based and externally verifiable transition plans for achieving net zero by 2050. Or they can bring about changes to companies' management structures – via a clearly defined escalation process – or even rule out companies that are not prepared to adapt.

### **The greenhouse effect**

The greenhouse effect works as follows: The sun warms the Earth's surface, which in turn radiates the heat into the atmosphere. Greenhouse gases in the atmosphere absorb this heat and radiate part of it back down to Earth. This heat that is returned to Earth warms up the surface and the lowest layer of the atmosphere. The higher the concentration of greenhouse gases, the greater the additional warming effect will be. The greenhouse effect is a natural phenomenon – and it is actually what makes life on Earth possible. If there were absolutely no greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, the Earth's average temperature would be around -18 °C. The problem is that the greenhouse gases emitted as a result of human activities have disrupted the natural balance between incoming and outgoing thermal radiation. As a result, the Earth's surface has become approximately 1°C warmer on average since the beginning of the industrial era in the mid-19th century. The average temperature in Switzerland actually increased by around 2°C between 1864, when industrialisation began in the country, and 2012.

### **Greenhouse gas emissions**

Greenhouse gas emissions are emissions of gases that reinforce the greenhouse effect. Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) accounts for most of the greenhouse gas emissions in Switzerland (approx. 82%). Carbon dioxide is released when fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas are burned – and cement manufacturing and deforestation also lead to higher levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Methane, which accounts for approximately 10% of greenhouse gases, is mainly produced by agricultural activity (livestock farming, the use of fertilisers), waste management operations (landfills, composting/fermentation, waste water treatment) and the use of fossil energy sources. Nitrous oxide (approx. 5%) is also produced as a result of agricultural activity (soil treatment and the use of fertilisers) but is also released in energy conversion processes, industrial operations and waste water treatment processes. The remaining 3% of greenhouse gas emissions are accounted for by synthetic greenhouse gases (HFCs, PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub>) that are mainly produced as a result of industrial operations (production of semiconductors, refrigerants, electronic equipment, etc.).

### **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**

The IPCC is an intergovernmental body of the United Nations responsible for advancing knowledge on human-induced climate change. It was established in November 1988 by the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO) and the United Nations Environment Programme. The IPCC provides information about academic research on climate change and its potential impact on the environment, society and the economy. The IPCC's assessment reports present the latest knowledge and findings regarding climate processes and influences, as well as proposals for adapting to and/or mitigating climate change. These reports are produced over a period of five to seven years by more than 1,000 researchers and editors around the world.

### **Two-degree target**

There is a far-reaching climate-policy consensus that a dangerous human-induced disruption to the Earth's climate system can still be avoided if the increase in average global temperature is kept under 2°C when compared to the pre-industrial era. If this two-degree limitation cannot be maintained, the consensus is that it will then no longer be possible to manage the effects of climate change. The resulting extreme weather events and other negative climate-related events will then increase in number and make things extremely dangerous and highly unmanageable, with unacceptably high economic costs as well. The Paris Agreement therefore set the goal of keeping the rise in average global temperature to well below 2 °C as compared to the pre-industrial era, and for the parties to the agreement to make efforts to limit the global temperature increase to 1.5 °C.